

10 A building associated with a BN-pair

Recall BN-pairs

10.1 Def Let G be a group with a BN pair

For each $i \in I$ define

$$P_i := B \cup B s_i B.$$

10.2 Lemma: P_i is a subgroup of G .

Proof: Check grp axioms using (BN2):

$$\begin{aligned} B w B \cdot B s_i B &= B w B s_i B \\ &\subseteq B w B \cup B w s_i B \end{aligned}$$

with $w = 1$ and $B w B = B$. \square

The following theorem provides a method to construct a building from a BN pair. This is the converse to the theorem we've seen that constructed BN-pairs from buildings using strongly transitive actions.

10.3 Thm The building of a BN pair

Let G be a group with subgroups B, N s.t.h. (BN0) - (BN2) hold.

Then there exists a building $\Delta = \Delta(B, N)$ of type (W, S) constructed as follows:

i) Put $\mathcal{C} := \{gB \mid g \in G\}$ the set of chambers.

ii) i -adjacency is given by

$$gB \sim_i hB \iff g^{-1}h \in P_i$$

A W -valued distance is given by

$$\delta(gB, hB) := w \quad \text{if } g^{-1}h \in BwB.$$

If in addition (BN3) holds Δ is thick.

Now let $C_0 = B, A_0 = \{wC_0 \mid w \in W\}$ and

define $\mathcal{A} := \{gA_0 \mid g \in G\}$ then \mathcal{A} is a system of apartments and G acts on the building Δ transitively on pairs in \mathcal{C} of a same W -distance with B the pointwise stabilizer of C_0 and N stabilizing the Apartment A_0 (*)

Proof: The fact that ii) is an equivalence relation is clear from the construction.

hence relation is clear by construction.

We need to prove:

- a) δ is indeed a W -distance
- b) the statement (*) which includes:
 - A_0 is an apartment
 - assertions on the action

Towards a):

We need to prove the following:

Let $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ be a reduced word for w .

Then: there exists a gallery of type (i_1, \dots, i_k) from gB to hB \Leftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(gB, hB) &= s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k} \\ &= w \end{aligned}$$

By construction we may assume that one of the chambers equals B and we only consider the case where

$$c = B \text{ and } d = gB.$$

We first prove " \Leftarrow "

$$\text{So } \delta(c, d) = w = \underbrace{s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}}_{\text{reduced!}}$$

We argue by induction on k :

$k=1$: in this case c and d are,

$k=1$: in this case c and d are, by definition, i_1 -adjacent and the gallery in question is just (c, d) .

$k \rightarrow k+1$: suppose $\delta(c, d) = w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k} s_{i_{k+1}}$

Hence $w = s_{i_1} \cdot w'$ with $w' = s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$.

We use the B left-action to reduce to the case that $d = wB$ as follows:

$d = gB$ for some $g \in G$.

Bruhat decomp. $\Rightarrow g \in BwB$ for some w .

Hence $gB = bwB$ for some $b \in B$.

Acting on c, d by b^{-1} from the left

yields $b^{-1}c = b^{-1}B = B$ and
 $b^{-1}d = b^{-1}bwB = wB$.

and $b^{-1}c = c$ and $b^{-1}d$ are two chambers at the same w -distance.

W.l.o.g. we may thus assume that $c = B$ and $d = wB$ for some $w \in W$.

But then $sd = swB = w'B$.

With $l(w') = k$.

By induction there is thus a gallery γ' of type $i_2 \dots i_k$ from c to sd .

of type $i_2 \dots i_k$ from c to sd .

Suppose $\gamma' = (c = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k = sd)$.

The gallery $\gamma'' = (sc, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k)$ is then from sc to $c_k = sd$. As w is reduced this gallery is minimal. " $s \cdot sd = d$

Hence $s \cdot \gamma'' = (c, sc_0, sc_1, \dots, sc_k)$ is a gallery from c to d of type w .

Now prove " \Rightarrow "

Suppose $\gamma = (c = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_k = d)$ is a minimal gallery from c to d .

Again $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ and put

$$w' := s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k} \text{ with } s_{i_1} w' = w.$$

$$\text{W.l.o.g. } c_1 = s_{i_1} \cdot c_0 = s_{i_1} c$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } s\gamma &= (sc, sc_1, \dots, sc_k) \\ &= (sc, \underbrace{c, \dots, sd}) \end{aligned}$$

And we have the sub-gallery from c to d of type $(i_2 \dots i_k)$.

Again by induction on $k = l(w)$

$$sd \subset Bw'B.$$

$$\Rightarrow \underset{\cdot s}{d} \subset s \cdot Bw'B \subset BswB.$$

↑

$$\Rightarrow a \subset s \cdot \delta w \subset \delta s w \subset \delta s w \delta$$

↑
uses: $l(sw) > l(w)$

$$\Rightarrow B_s B w B = B s w B$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(c, d) = s \cdot w' = w. \quad \text{Hence a).}$$

Towards b) β

$$\text{Let } \Sigma = \{n c_0 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

By (BN1) the set Σ equals the set A_0 .

$$T = B \cap N \triangleleft N \\ W = N/T$$

$$A_0 = \{w c_0 \mid w \in W\}$$

By definition $\delta(g\beta, h\beta) = g^{-1}h$
and thus Σ is isometric to W via

$$w c_0 \mapsto w.$$

Hence $\Sigma = A_0$ is isomorphic to the Cayley graph of (W, S) and hence an apartment.

Multiplication on the left induces W on $\Sigma = A_0$.

The fact that it is an atlas is clear from the construction.

Moreover, if $\delta(\beta, g\beta) = w$, then

$$g\beta = bw\beta \quad \text{for some } b \in B$$

So b sends $(\beta, w\beta)$ to $(\beta, g\beta)$.

to send (hB, gB) at distance w
to any other pair $(h'B, g'B)$ at the
same distance proceed as follows:

$$(hB, gB) \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} (B, h^{-1}gB) \xrightarrow[\text{as above}]{\text{some } b} (B, wB) \parallel$$

$$(h'B, g'B) \xrightarrow{(h')^{-1}} (B, h'^{-1}g'B) \xrightarrow[\text{some } b']{} (B, wB)$$

Transitivity follows. Hence b) \square

10.4 Remark: N might not be the full
stabilizer of A_0 .

If in addition $T = \bigcap_{w \in W} wBw^{-1}$
then the BN -pair is called
saturated and $N = \text{Stab}_G(A_0)$.

10.5 Geometric interpretation of axiom (BN2)

Recall: $gB, g \in G$ are the chambers

$BwB =$ chambers in the
 B -orbit of wB

represent the chambers in BwB by

represent the chambers in BwB by galleries from $1 \cdot B$ to bwB .

(BN2) means:

if a gallery from B to a $c \in BwB$ is followed by a gallery of type i , then the final resulting chamber of the elongated gallery lies in BwB or in Bws_iB .

We will now construct a ^{simplicial} realization of the building in this theorem using parabolic subgroups:

Def 10.6 standard parabolic subgroups:

Let G be a group with a BN -pair.

For each $J \subseteq I$ let $W_J = \langle s_j \mid j \in J \rangle$.

Put

$$P_J := \bigsqcup_{w \in W_J} BwB.$$

(W_J, J) is a Coxeter system

We call such P_J standard parabolic subgroups of G .

A parabolic subgroup is a conjugate of

A parabolic subgroup is a conjugate of some P_J for $J \subseteq I$.

Def 16.7 $P_\emptyset = B$ called standard Borel subgroup

One can show:

Thm 16.8

G a group with a BN-pair, then

(1) If $B \leq P \leq G$, then $P = P_J$ for some $J \subseteq I$.

(2) $\forall J, K \subseteq I$, $P_J \cap P_K = P_{J \cap K}$ and

$$\langle P_J, P_K \rangle = P_{J \cup K}$$

(3) $\forall J \subseteq I$ the group P_J is the stabilizer of the J -residue of $\Delta = \Delta(B, N)$ containing B .

In particular, for all $i \in I$ the group P_i is the stabilizer of the i -panel of Δ containing B .

o.Bew

↑ represents a chamber in this chamber complex.

Construction of the poset which gives the simod. Cox:

construction of the
poset which gives the simpl. cplx:

Theorem 10.9

Consider the poset of cosets of proper standard parabolic subgroups of G , ordered by inclusion:

$$\{gP_J \mid g \in G, J \subseteq I\}.$$

The simplicial complex which is the geometric realization of this poset "is" the building $\Delta = \Delta(B, N)$.

Proof: This is a consequence of the orbit stabilizer theorem combined with the Theorem on parabolic subgroups above. \square

Orbit-stabilizer theorem and Burnside's lemma [edit]

Orbits and stabilizers are closely related. For a fixed x in X , consider the map $f : G \rightarrow X$ given by $g \mapsto g \cdot x$. By definition the image $f(G)$ of this map is the orbit $G \cdot x$. The condition for two elements to have the same image is

$$f(g) = f(h) \iff g \cdot x = h \cdot x \iff g^{-1}h \cdot x = x \iff g^{-1}h \in G_x \iff h \in gG_x.$$

In other words, $f(g) = f(h)$ if and only if g and h lie in the same coset for the stabilizer subgroup G_x . Thus, the fiber $f^{-1}(\{y\})$ of f over any y in $G \cdot x$ is contained in such a coset, and every such coset also occurs as a fiber. Therefore f induces a bijection between the set G/G_x of cosets for the stabilizer subgroup and the orbit $G \cdot x$, which sends $gG_x \mapsto g \cdot x$.^[1] This result is known as the orbit-stabilizer theorem.

If G is finite then the orbit-stabilizer theorem, together with Lagrange's theorem, gives

$$|G \cdot x| = [G : G_x] = |G|/|G_x|,$$

in other words the length of the orbit of x times the order of its stabilizer is the order of the group. In particular that implies that the orbit length is a divisor of the group order.

Remark 10.10:

$$1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{chambers in} \\ \text{the building} \end{array} \right\} \hat{=} \{gB \mid g \in G\} \quad \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ = P_\emptyset \end{array}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{faces of chambers} \end{array} \right\} \hat{=} \{gP_\emptyset \mid \emptyset \neq \emptyset \subseteq I, g \in G\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{codim 1-faces of} \\ \text{chamber } gB \end{array} \right\} \hat{=} \{gP_i \mid i \in I\}$$

2) Each apartment in the building is a Coxeter complex and is hence naturally isomorphic to the simplicial realization of the poset $\{wW_j \mid w \in W, j \in I\}$

Remark 10.11: Many buildings for a grp

In case $G = GL_n(K)$ or $SL_n(K)$ over a field with valuation $v: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ we are hence in the following situation:

(i) G has a BN-pair

($B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ & * \end{pmatrix}$ and $N = \text{monomials}$)

from which we can construct a (spherical) building Δ with $W \cong \text{Sym}(n)$ i.e. the type of Δ is A_{n-1}

(ii) The S t_2 -tree construction generalizes to this setting and one can construct (via adjacency of homothety classes of lattices again) an affine building X of type \tilde{A}_{n-1} . Associated with this affine building is another (different) BN-pair for $GL_n(K)$ resp. $SL_n(K)$.

(ii) since K is a valued field we also have the residue field k w.r.t this valuation v and thus obtain

valuation v and thus obtain another spherical building Δ° of type A_{n-1} and $W \cong \text{Sym}(n)$ via the BN-pair $\mathcal{B} = (\ast, \ast)$, $N = \text{monomials}$ for G over k , d.e. $\text{GL}_n(k)$, resp $\text{SL}_n(k)$.

The geometric situation is as follows:

Δ appears as the boundary at infinity of the building X while Δ° is isomorphic to links (at special vertices) inside the bldg X , e.g. the vertex corresponding to the lattice class $[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n > 0]$.

We have only seen glimpses of why this is true and how all that stuff works and there is much more to explore and discover.

Thanks for a
fun semester!