

|Y| as points of an adic space

In the last talk we constructed for a primitive element of degree 1 $x \in W (x = \pi - [x_0])$

a morphism θ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\theta} & A_x := W/xW \\ \uparrow \text{Teichmüller lift } [\cdot] & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{O}_F & & \end{array}$$

and a discrete valuation w_x on A_x defined by $w_x(\theta[a]) := v_F(a)$

with corresponding norm $|w|_x := q^{-w_x(w)}$ ($w \in A_x$)

such ~~that~~ that A_x is the valuation ring for w_x in $C_x := \text{Frac}(A_x)$

We want to extend θ to a map $B \rightarrow C_x$.

Let $s_x := q^{-w_x(\pi)} = |\pi|_x$, choose a closed interval $I \subset (0,1)$ with $s_x \in I, I = [s_1, s_2]$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} W & \hookrightarrow & B^{b+} = W[\frac{1}{\pi}] & \hookrightarrow & B^b = B^{b+}[F^*] & \hookrightarrow & B \hookrightarrow B_I \\ & \searrow \theta & \searrow & & \downarrow (***) & \swarrow & \swarrow (***) \\ & & C_x = \text{Frac}(A_x) \stackrel{(*)}{=} A_x[\frac{1}{\pi}] & & & & \end{array}$$

(*) We have $w_x(\theta(\pi)) = w_x(\pi - [x_0]) = w_x([x_0]) = v(x_0) (= v_{w_x(\pi)}(\pi)) > 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\theta(\pi)|_x = |\pi|_{s_x} < 1$$

and C_x is obtained from A_x by inverting any element of valuation > 0

(**) $w_x(\theta([a])) = v(a) = v_F(a) \quad \forall r > 0, a \in \mathcal{O}_F$

In particular $\theta([a]) \neq 0$ if $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ extension exists.

(***) We need to check that θ is continuous with respect to $|\cdot|_x$ and $|\cdot|_I$.
 Since $|b|_S \leq |b|_I$ for all $b \in B^b$ and all $g \in I$, it suffices to check

$$\text{that } \forall b \in B^b : |\theta(b)|_x \leq |b|_{S_x}$$

$$\text{Since } |\pi|_x = |\pi|_{S_x} (= |x_0|) \quad (\text{see } (*))$$

$$\text{and } |\theta[a]|_x = |[a]|_{S_x} (= |a|) \quad (\text{see } (**)) \text{ for } a \in F^x,$$

we can assume $b \in W$. Write $b = \sum_{n \geq 0} [b_n] \pi^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta(b)|_x &= \left| \theta \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} [b_n] \pi^n \right) \right| \stackrel{x = \pi \cdot [x_0]}{=} \left| \theta \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} [b_n] \cdot [x_0]^n \right) \right| = \left| \theta \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} [b_n x_0^n] \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \geq 0} |\theta([b_n x_0^n])| = \sup_{n \geq 0} |b_n| |x_0|^n = \sup_{n \geq 0} |b_n|_{S_x} = |b|_{S_x}. \end{aligned}$$

In all cases $\ker(\theta)$ is the ideal generated by x and

$$m_x := \ker(B \xrightarrow{\theta} C_x) \text{ is a maximal ideal.}$$

We obtain an injection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |Y| & \hookrightarrow & \text{Spm}(B) \\ x & \longmapsto & m_x \end{array}$$

We want to construct an adic space Y^{ad} with $|Y| = |Y^{\text{ad}}|$.
power bounded elements

A first guess would be $\text{Spa}(B, B^\circ)$, but this does not work well
 (cannot prove that the structure presheaf is a sheaf)

Theorem 1: $Y_I^{\text{ad}} = \text{Spa}(B_I, B_I^\circ)$ is an adic space, i.e. the structure presheaf is a sheaf.

Strategy of the proof: For $I \subseteq (0, 1)$, $I = [s_1, s_2]$ with $s_1 = |a|, s_2 = |b|$,
 $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_F$ find a perfectoid field K/E with $B_I \hat{\otimes} K$ perfectoid
 (and thus in particular $\text{Spa}(B_I \hat{\otimes} K)$ is an adic space) and
 conclude that $\text{Spa}(B_I, B_I^\circ)$ is an adic space.

For $n \geq 0$ set $E_n := E[\pi^{1/p^n}] := E[X] / (X^{p^n} - \pi)$
 and let π_n denote the image of X in E_n , i.e. $\pi_n^{p^n} = \pi$.

Define $L := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} E_n$. Then \hat{L} is a perfectoid field (\hat{L} is π -adic completion of L)

$B_I \hat{\otimes}_E L$ is equipped with the tensor product norm:

$$\|x\| := \inf \left\{ \sup_i \|b_i\|_I \|l_i\|_L \mid x = \sum_i b_i \otimes l_i \right\}.$$

Denote its completion by $B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L}$ ($= B^b \hat{\otimes}_E L$, where B^b is equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_I$)

We want to show that $B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L}$ is perfectoid.

problem: The tensor product norm is not power-multiplicative, hence it is hard to show
 that the powerbounded elements $(B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L})^\circ$ are bounded and open

Theorem 2: There is a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $B^b \hat{\otimes}_E L$ equivalent to the tensor
 product norm $\|\cdot\|_I \otimes \|\cdot\|_L$, such that $(B^b \hat{\otimes}_E L)^\wedge$ (completion with respect
 to $\|\cdot\|$) is perfectoid.

(Of course, since $\|\cdot\|_I \otimes \|\cdot\|_L$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent, we have $(B^b \hat{\otimes}_E L)^\wedge \simeq B^b \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L}$)

proof: Define $B_n^b := B_{E_n}^b = \left\{ \sum_{k \gg -\infty} [x_k] \pi_n^k \mid |x_k|_F \text{ are bounded} \right\}$

$$W_n := W_{\mathcal{O}_{E_n}}(\mathcal{O}_F)$$

$$B_n^{b_1} := W_n \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \right] = W_n \left[\frac{1}{\pi_n} \right]$$

$$I_n := [s_1^{1/p^n}, s_2^{1/p^n}] \quad , \quad s_1 = |a|, s_2 = |b|, a, b \in \mathcal{O}_F$$

Then $B^b \otimes_E L = \varinjlim_n B^b \otimes_E E_n = \varinjlim_n B_n^b$

We want to show that the isomorphisms $B^b \otimes_E E_n \xrightarrow{\sim} B_n^b$ are topological, i.e. $|\cdot|_I \otimes |\cdot|_{E_n}$ and $|\cdot|_{I_n}$ are equivalent

We need the following lemma:

~~proof: Without loss of generality we may assume n~~

lemma: $A_n := W_n \left[\frac{[a]^{1/p^n}}{\pi_n}, \frac{\pi_n}{[b]^{1/p^n}} \right] \subseteq B_n^b$ is the set of powerbounded elements $B_n^{b_0}$ (with respect to $|\cdot|_{I_n}$) = unit ball.

proof: Without loss of generality we may assume $n=0$ (replace E_n with E), $A := A_0$.
 $|\cdot|_I$ is power-multiplicative (as $|\cdot|_I = \max\{|\cdot|_{s_1}, |\cdot|_{s_2}\}$ and $|\cdot|_{s_i}$ are multiplicative)

$$\Rightarrow B^{b_0} = \{x \in B^b \mid |x|_I \leq 1\}$$

• For $x \in W$, $x = \sum_{k \geq 0} [x_k] \pi^k$ with $x_k \in \mathcal{O}_F$ we have

$$|x|_{s_i} = \sup_{k \geq 0} \underbrace{|x_k|}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{s_i^k}_{\leq 1} \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad W \subseteq B^{b_0}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \bullet \left| \frac{[a]}{\pi} \right|_I = \max\{|a| s_1^{-1}, |a| s_2^{-1}\} = \max\{1, \frac{s_1}{s_2}\} = 1 \\ & \bullet \left| \frac{\pi}{[b]} \right|_I = \max\{|b|^{-1} s_1, |b|^{-1} s_2\} = \max\{\frac{s_1}{s_2}, 1\} = 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow A \subseteq B^{b_0}$$

Now let $x = \sum_{k \gg -\infty} [x_k] \pi^k \in B^{bo}$

$\Rightarrow 1 \geq |x|_I = \sup_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ k \gg -\infty}} |x_k| s_i^k$

• $|x_k|$ bounded $\Rightarrow \exists N \geq 0$ such that for $k \geq N : |x_k| \leq s_2^{-N}$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{k \geq N} [x_k] \pi^k = \left(\frac{\pi}{[b]}\right)^N \sum_{k \geq N} \underbrace{[x_k b^N]}_{|x_k b^N| = |x_k| s_2^N \leq 1} \pi^{k-N} \in A$

• for $k < 0 : |x_k| s_1^k \leq 1 \Rightarrow \left|\frac{x_k}{a^{-k}}\right| \leq 1 \Rightarrow [x_k] \pi^{-k} = \left(\frac{[a]}{\pi}\right)^k \left[\frac{x_k}{a^{-k}}\right] \in A$

• for $0 \leq k < N : |x_k| s_2^k \leq 1 \Rightarrow |x_k b^k| \leq 1 \Rightarrow [x_k] \pi^k = \left(\frac{\pi}{[b]}\right)^k [x_k b^k] \in A$

$\Rightarrow x \in A$

□

Continuation of the proof of Theorem 2:

The topology on $(B^b \otimes_E E_n, |\cdot|_I \otimes |\cdot|_{E_n})$ is generated by

$B^{bo} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_n} = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_n} = W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_n} \left[\frac{[a]}{\pi}, \frac{\pi}{[b]} \right] = W_n \left[\frac{[a]}{\pi}, \frac{\pi}{[b]} \right].$

The topology on $(B_n^b, |\cdot|_{I_n})$ is generated by

$B_n^{bo} = A_n = W_n \left[\frac{[a]^{p_n}}{\pi_n}, \frac{\pi_n}{[b]^{p_n}} \right]$

claim: $\pi B_n^{bo} \subseteq B^{bo} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_n} \subseteq B_n^{bo}$

• $B^{bo} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_n} \subseteq B_n^{bo}$ is obvious

• In order to see that $\pi B_n^{bo} \subseteq B^{bo} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_n}$ we have to show that $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$\pi \left(\frac{[a]^{p_n}}{\pi_n} \right)^k \in B_n^{bo}$ and $\pi \left(\frac{\pi_n}{[b]^{p_n}} \right)^k \in B_n^{bo}$

Write $k = r\rho^n + s$ with $r \geq 0$ and $0 \leq s < \rho^n$

Then
$$\pi \left(\frac{[a^{\frac{1}{\rho^n}}]}{\pi_n} \right)^k = \left(\frac{[a]}{\pi} \right)^r \cdot \pi_n^{s-\rho^n} [a^{\frac{s}{\rho^n}}] \in B_n^{b_0}$$

$$\pi \left(\frac{\pi_n}{[b^{\frac{1}{\rho^n}}]} \right)^k = \left(\frac{\pi}{[b]} \right)^{r+1} \pi_n^s [b^{1-\frac{s}{\rho^n}}] \in B_n^{b_0}$$

\Rightarrow claim

The claim implies that the topologies on $B^{b_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_{E_n} \simeq B_n^{b_0}$ associated to $|\cdot|_I$ and to $|\cdot|_I \otimes |\cdot|_{E_n}$, respectively, coincide, i.e. $|\cdot|_I \otimes |\cdot|_{E_n}$ and $|\cdot|_I$ are equivalent

We want to combine these norms to norms on $B^b \otimes_E L$

- $|\cdot|_{E_n}$ are the norms induced by $|\cdot|_L$

$\Rightarrow |\cdot|_I \otimes |\cdot|_{E_n}$ combine to the norm $|\cdot|_I \otimes |\cdot|_L$ on $B^b \otimes_E L$

- We have isometric embeddings

$$\mathcal{I}_n: (B^b, |\cdot|_I) \longrightarrow (B_n^b, |\cdot|_{I_n})$$

$$\sum_k [x_k] \pi^k \longmapsto \sum_k [x_k] \pi_n^{k\rho^n}$$

(for any $s \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$|\mathcal{I}_n(x)|_{s^{\frac{1}{\rho^n}}} = \left| \sum_k [x_k] \pi_n^{k\rho^n} \right|_{s^{\frac{1}{\rho^n}}} = \sup_k |x_k| (s^{\frac{1}{\rho^n}})^{k\rho^n} = \sup_k |x_k| s^k = |x|_s$$

$$\Rightarrow |\mathcal{I}_n(x)|_{I_n} = \max \left\{ |\mathcal{I}_n(x)|_{s_1^{\frac{1}{\rho^n}}}, |\mathcal{I}_n(x)|_{s_2^{\frac{1}{\rho^n}}} \right\} = \max \left\{ |x|_{s_1}, |x|_{s_2} \right\} = |x|_I$$

$\Rightarrow |\cdot|_{I_n}$ combine to a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $B^b \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} L = \varinjlim_n B_n^b$, which is

equivalent to $|\cdot|_I \otimes |\cdot|_L$

Moreover $\cdot|_{I_n}$ are power-multiplicative and sub-multiplicative

Thus, the powerbounded elements are given by the elements x of norm $\|x\| \leq 1$,

$$(B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L})^\circ = \left(\lim_n B_n^{\text{bo}} \right)^\wedge = \left(\lim_n A_n \right)^\wedge$$

(Here completion is with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, which coincides with π -adic completion, because on the unit ball completion with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ is γ -adic completion for any γ with $0 < \|\gamma\| < 1$)

We now show that $B_I \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L}$ is perfectoid.

- powerbounded elements are open and bounded:

$(B_I \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L})^\circ = \{x \in B_I \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L} \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ is the open unit ball and thus bounded and open.

- Frobenius is surjective on $(B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L})^\circ / \pi$:

$$(B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L})^\circ / \pi = \left(\lim_n A_n \right)^\wedge / \pi \stackrel{\text{completion is } \pi\text{-adic completion}}{=} \left(\lim_n A_n \right) / \pi = \lim_n (A_n / \pi)$$

$$A_n / \pi = W_n / \pi [x_n, y_n], \quad x_n \equiv \frac{[a^{1/p^n}]}{\pi_n} \pmod{\pi}, \quad y_n \equiv \frac{\pi_n}{[b^{1/p^n}]} \pmod{\pi}$$

$$= \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{O}_{E_n / \pi} [x_n, y_n]$$

The transition maps are given by

- $\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{O}_{E_n / \pi} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{O}_{E_{n+1} / \pi}$ induced by inclusion $E_n \hookrightarrow E_{n+1}$

- $x_n \longmapsto x_{n+1}^p$

- $y_n \longmapsto y_{n+1}^p$

We have: $\left. \begin{array}{l} \bullet \varinjlim_n \mathcal{O}_{E_n/\pi} = \mathcal{O}_{L/\pi} \\ \bullet \mathcal{O}_F \text{ is perfect} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{Frobenius is surjective on } \mathcal{O}_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L/\pi}$

\Rightarrow Frobenius is surjective. \square

To conclude that $\text{Spa}(B_I, B_I^\circ)$ is an adic space we need the following

lemma: Let K be a complete nonarchimedean discretely valued field, C° a complex of K -Banach spaces and W a nonzero K -Banach space.

If $C^\circ \hat{\otimes} W$ is exact, then C° is exact.

proof: [Peter Schneider "Nonarchimedean Functional Analysis", Prop. 10.1] implies:

There is a set I , such that W is topologically isomorphic to

$$c_0(I, K) := \{ \phi: I \rightarrow K \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0 \# \{i \in I \mid |\phi(i)| \geq \varepsilon\} < \infty \}$$

with supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$

For any K -Banach space V there are canonical topological isomorphisms

$$V \hat{\otimes}_K c_0(I, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} c_0(I, V)$$

and

$$c_0(I, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V \oplus c_0(I \setminus \{i\}, V)$$

$$\phi \mapsto (\phi(i), \phi|_{I \setminus \{i\}})$$

Suppose that $\dots \rightarrow C^1 \hat{\otimes} W \rightarrow C^2 \hat{\otimes} W \rightarrow C^3 \hat{\otimes} W \rightarrow \dots$ is exact

choose any $i \in I$
 \Leftrightarrow

$$\dots \rightarrow C^1 \oplus_{C_0(I \setminus \{i\})} C^1 \rightarrow C^2 \oplus_{C_0(I \setminus \{i\})} C^2 \rightarrow C^3 \oplus_{C_0(I \setminus \{i\})} C^3 \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact

Note that for all $i \in I$: C^i maps to C^{i+1} and $C_0(I \setminus \{i\}; C^i)$ to $C_0(I \setminus \{i\}; C^{i+1})$

\Rightarrow the sequence splits

$\Rightarrow C^\bullet$ is exact

proof of theorem 1

Let \mathcal{O}_I denote the structure presheaf on $\text{Spa}(B_I, B_I^\circ)$

We need to show: for any rational $U \in \text{Spa}(B_I, B_I^\circ)$ and any cover by rationals $U = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ the Čech complex

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_I(U) \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_I(U_j) \rightarrow \prod_{i, j \in J} \mathcal{O}_I(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is exact.

Tensoring with \hat{L} yields

$$(*) \otimes \hat{L} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_I(U) \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_I(U_j) \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L} \rightarrow \prod_{i, j \in J} \mathcal{O}_I(U_i \cap U_j) \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L}$$

For a rational subset $U(\frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{g})$ we have $\mathcal{O}_I(U(\frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{g})) = B_I \langle \frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{g} \rangle$

(completion of $B_I[\frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{g}]$ with respect to the topology generated

by $B_I^\circ[\frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{g}]$)

$$\mathcal{O}_I(U(\frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{g})) \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L} = (B_I[\frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{g}] \otimes_E L)^\wedge$$

where the completion is taken with respect to the tensor product norm, i.e. the topology generated by the π -adic topology on ~~\hat{L}~~

$$B_I^\circ[\frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{g}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_L = \underbrace{B_I^\circ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_L}_{\text{generates the topology of } B_I \otimes_E L}[\frac{f_1 \otimes 1}{g \otimes 1}, \dots, \frac{f_n \otimes 1}{g \otimes 1}]$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_I(U(\frac{f_1, \dots, f_n}{g})) \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L} &= (B_I[\frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{g}] \otimes_E L)^\wedge = (B_I \otimes_E L[\frac{f_1 \otimes 1}{g \otimes 1}, \dots, \frac{f_n \otimes 1}{g \otimes 1}])^\wedge \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{B_I \hat{\otimes}_E \hat{L}}(U(\frac{f_1 \otimes 1, \dots, f_n \otimes 1}{g \otimes 1})) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow (*) \hat{\otimes} \hat{L}$ is the Čech complex for some rational cover on $B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L}$
 [Scholze "Perfectoid Spaces" Theorem 6.3 iii] $\Rightarrow (*) \hat{\otimes} \hat{L}$ is exact.
 (as $\mathcal{O}_{B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L}}$ is a sheaf)

Lemma $\Rightarrow (*)$ exact □

Corollary: $Y^{\text{ad}} = \varinjlim_{\Gamma} Y_I^{\text{ad}}$ is an adic space

Remarks: 1) Instead of the explicit L we used, one can take any arithmetically profinite extension of E . The proof carries over with only minor modifications

2) In [Kedlaya "Noetherian properties of Fargues-Fontaine curves, Thm 4.10"] it is shown that B_I is strongly noetherian, implying that $\text{Spa}(B_I, B_I^\circ)$ is an adic space directly without using that $B_I \hat{\otimes} \hat{L}$ is perfectoid.

The adic Fargue - Fontaine curve

Definition : $X := \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} B^{\varphi = \pi^d} \right)$ as a scheme over E

(we will examine this more closely in later talks)

X is called Fargue - Fontaine curve

X is not of finite type over E . It is thus not clear whether an adification exists, but we can construct an adic space as follows:

Frobenius ϕ acts properly discontinuously on Y^{ad} .

We want to define an adic space X^{ad} by

$$X^{\text{ad}} = " Y^{\text{ad}} / \phi^{\mathbb{Z}} "$$

This quotient exists in the category of adic spaces as ϕ acts properly discontinuously: Define $Y^{\text{ad}} / \phi^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as the topological quotient with the following structure sheaf: Let $\bar{y} \in Y^{\text{ad}} / \phi^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $y \in Y^{\text{ad}}$ a preimage.

There is an open neighborhood $U \ni y$ such that $\phi^n(U)$ are disjoint for all n . It thus makes sense to define $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}}}(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{ad}}}(U)$.

This defines $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}}}$ on a basis of the topology and

one checks that $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{ad}}}$ is well defined.

↑
image of U
in X^{ad}